

ON SOME PROPERTIES OF S -DECOMPOSABLE MEASURES

BELOSLAV RIEČAN

Mathematical Institute; Slovak Academy of Sciences; Štefánikova 49; SK-814 73
Bratislava; SLOVAKIA;

Department of Mathematics; Faculty of Natural Sciences; Matej Bel University;
Tajovského 40; SK-974 01; Banská Bystrica; SLOVAKIA

S -DECOMPOSABLE MEASURES

As it is very well known by a triangular conorm S (t -conorm briefly) a function $S: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is understood such that it is commutative, associative, monotone and such that $S(x, 0) = x$ for every x .

Let us mention some simple examples:

$$\begin{aligned}S_M(x, y) &= \max(x, y), \\S_P(x, y) &= x + y - xy, \\S_L(x, y) &= \min(x + y, 1).\end{aligned}$$

An S -decomposable measure (with respect to a t -conorm S) is a function $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (\mathcal{R} being an arbitrary ring of sets) such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$ and

$$\mu(A \cup B) = S(\mu(A), \mu(B)),$$

whenever $A, B \in \mathcal{R}$, $A \cup B \in \mathcal{R}$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$. The function is σ - S -decomposable, if

$$\mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bigvee_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i).$$

whenever A_i are pairwise disjoint sets from \mathcal{R} and $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{R}$.

It is known ([3], Remark 11.11, [4], Remark 2) that if S is left continuous then μ is σ - S -decomposable if and only if μ is S -decomposable and continuous from below. Moreover, the following assertion holds.

Proposition. *If S is a continuous t -conorm, μ is S -decomposable measure on a ring and $(A_n \searrow \emptyset \Rightarrow \mu(A_n) \searrow 0)$, then μ is continuous from below and continuous from above.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28E10.

Key words and phrases. triangular conorms and norms, decomposable measures.

Supported by grant VEGA 2/6087/99.

ALEXANDROV THEOREM

We shall consider t -conorms S satisfying the inequality $S \leq S_L$, where S_L is the Lukasiewicz conorm, i.e., $S(x, y) \leq \min(x + y, 1)$.

To formulate the Alexandrov theorem we need moreover the following definitions. A family \mathcal{C} of sets is said to be compact, if the following implication holds:

$$(C_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{C}, \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for any } n \Rightarrow \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty C_i \in \mathcal{C}.$$

An S -decomposable measure $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is said to be compact if there exists a compact family \mathcal{C} such that to any $A \in \mathcal{R}$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $C \in \mathcal{C}$ and $B \in \mathcal{R}$ such $B \subset C \subset A$ and

$$\mu(A \setminus B) < \varepsilon.$$

Theorem 1. *Let S be a continuous t -conorm, $S \leq S_L$. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of sets and $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a compact S -additive measure. Then μ is continuous from above.*

Proof. Let $A_n \searrow \emptyset$, $A_n \in \mathcal{R}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Since $(\mu(A_n))_{n=1}^\infty$ is decreasing, there exists $a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$. We want to prove that $a = 0$. Assume $a > 0$. By the compactness of μ there exist $B_n \in \mathcal{R}$, $C_n \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $B_n \subset C_n \subset A_n$ and

$$\mu(A_n \setminus B_n) < \frac{a}{2^n}.$$

Put $D_n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i$. We want to prove that $D_n \neq \emptyset$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). In the opposite case there exists n such that $D_n = \emptyset$. Then

$$A_n = A_n \setminus D_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_n \setminus C_i) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n (A_i \setminus B_i).$$

Therefore

$$a \leq \mu(A_n) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i \setminus B_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \mu(A_i \setminus B_i) < a$$

what is a contradiction.

We have proved that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i \neq \emptyset$ for any n . Therefore $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty C_i \neq \emptyset$. Of course, $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty C_i \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^\infty A_i$, hence $\bigcap_{i=1}^\infty A_i \neq \emptyset$, what is a contradiction. Therefore $0 = a = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(A_n)$.

Remark. From the proof of Theorem 1 it is easy to see that instead of the inequality $S \leq S_L$ we can assume the following implication:

$$\forall a > 0 \exists (a_i)_{i=1}^\infty, a_i > 0 \quad \forall n: \sum_{i=1}^n a_i < a.$$

Moreover, this property holds for any continuous t -conorm S (see [2]). Therefore we obtain the following generalization of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. *Let S be a continuous t -conorm. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of sets and $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a compact S -decomposable measure. Then μ is continuous from above.*

Corollary. *Let S be a continuous t -conorm. Let \mathcal{R} be a ring of sets and $\mu: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be a compact S -decomposable measure. Then μ is σ - S -decomposable measure.*

PRODUCT OF S -DECOMPOSABLE MEASURES

Let $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\nu: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be two S -decomposable measures defined on some rings. Of course the product of μ and ν should be considered with respect to a t -norm T . Recall that a t -norm is a mapping $T: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that it is commutative, associative, monotone and such that $T(x, 1) = x$ for any x .

If S is a t -conorm, then by the de Morgan rule a dual t -norm T can be obtained:

$$T(x, y) = S(1 - (1 - x)(1 - y)).$$

So we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_M(x, y) &= \min(x, y), \\ T_P(x, y) &= xy, \\ T_L(x, y) &= \max(x + y - 1, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Of course we need not a dual pair, but a couple satisfying a distributive law ([3], def. 4.4.1):

T is said to be conditionally distributive over S , if for all $x, y, z \in [0, 1]$

$$T(x, S(y, z)) = S(T(x, y), T(x, z))$$

whenever $S(y, z) < 1$.

A simple example of the distributive law are the t -norm T_P and the t -norm S_L . The problem of conditional distributivity for continuous T , S is completely solved in [3] Theorem 4.4.3.

Theorem 3. *Let T be a t -norm conditionally distributed over a t -conorm S . Let $\mu: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\nu: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be S -decomposable measures defined on the rings \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{T} , respectively. Let \mathcal{R} be the ring generated by the family $\{A \times B; A \in \mathcal{S}, B \in \mathcal{T}\}$. Then there exists exactly one S -decomposable measure $\lambda: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\lambda(A \times B) = T(\mu(A), \nu(B))$. If S, T are moreover continuous, μ, ν are continuous from above and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{B}(R)$, then λ is continuous from above, too.*

Remark. The conditions $\mu(A) < 1$, $\nu(B) < 1$ for all A and B can be substituted by S -faithfulness of μ and ν (see [1] and [4]).

REFERENCES

1. Bertoluzza, C., *On the distributivity between t -norms and t -conorms.*, Proc. 2nd Intern. Conf. on Fuzzy Systems, FUZZ-IEEE'93, San Francisco, **I** (1993), 140–147.
2. Klement, E.P.; Mesiar, R., *Triangular Norms*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **13** (1997), 169–193.
3. Klement, E. P.; Mesiar, R.; Pap, E., *Triangular Norms* (to appear).
4. Klement, E.P.; Mesiar, R.; Pap, E., *(S,U)-integral*, Proc. EUSFLAT'99, Palmade, Malorca (to appear).
5. Mesiar, R., *Universal t -conorms*, Proc. EUFIT'97, Aachen.
6. Mesiar, R.; Riečan, B., *On the joint observable in some quantum structures*, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. **3** (1993), 183–190.
7. Pap, E., *Additive Set Functions*, Ister Science, Kluwer, Bratislava, Dordrecht, 1995.
8. Riečan, B.; Neubrunn, T., *Integral, Measure, and Ordering*, Ister, Kluwer, Bratislava, Dordrecht, 1997.