

Multi-Input Partial Pole Placement for Distributed Parameter Gyroscopic Systems ¹

Datta, B.N.², Ram, Y.M.³ and Sarkissian D. R.⁴

Abstract

This paper presents a novel solution to the partial eigenvalue assignment problem of an undamped gyroscopic distributed parameters system. The partial eigenvalue assignment problem is the problem of reassigning by feedback a few bad eigenvalues of the open-loop operator pencil while leaving the remaining infinite number of eigenvalues unchanged.

The distinctive practical features of our solution are (i) it requires solution of only a small finite dimensional linear algebraic system and knowledge of only a small finite number of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the infinite dimensional open-loop operator pencil, (ii) no spill-over occurs; that is, the remaining infinite number of eigenvalues and eigenvectors that are required to remain invariant will remain in their places and, (iii) it is obtained completely in distributed parameter setting and no discretization to second-order system of differential equations is invoked so that vital inherent properties of the original system are fully preserved.

Because of the above mentioned practical features, the proposed solution is readily applicable to stabilize or to combat the effects of dangerous vibrations in a large structure.

1 Introduction

The vibrating structures such as beams, buildings, bridges, highways, large space structures, etc., are distributed parameter systems. While it is desirable to obtain a solution of a vibration problem in its own natural setting of distributed parameter systems; due to the lack of appropriate computational methods, in practice, very often a distributed parameter system is first discretized to a matrix second-order model using techniques of finite elements or finite differences

¹The work was partially supported by a NSF grant under contract ECS-0074411 and the research of Yitshak Ram was also supported by a NSF grant under contract CMS-9978786.

²Department of Mathematical Sciences, Northern Illinois University, DeKalb, IL, 60115, e-mail: dattab@math.niu.edu, Corresponding Author

³Mechanical Engineering Department, Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803, e-mail: ram@me.lsu.edu

⁴Dept. of Mathematics and Statistics, Mississippi State University, e-mail: sarkiss@math.msstate.edu

([1, 2, 9, 10], etc.), and then the problem is solved for this discretized reduced-order model. A matrix second-order model of the free motion of a vibrating system is a system of differential equations of the form

$$M\ddot{x}(t) + (D + G)\dot{x}(t) + Kx(t) = 0, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M = M^T & \text{ is mass or inertia matrix} \\ D = D^T & \text{ is damping matrix} \\ G = -G^T & \text{ is skew-symmetric (gyroscopic) matrix} \\ K = K^T & \text{ is stiffness matrix} \end{aligned}$$

The system represented by (1) is called damped gyroscopic system. The eigenvalues of the system (1) are the eigenvalues of the quadratic pencil: $P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 M + \lambda(D + G) + K$.

It is well-known (see [9]) that a dangerous situation called resonance occurs when one or more natural frequencies of the system, which are the eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$, become equal or close to a frequency of the external force.

To combat undesirable effects of vibrations, such as resonance, caused by a few “bad” eigenvalues of the system, one needs to reassign those few “bad” eigenvalues, leaving the rest unchanged, by using a suitable control force. This problem is known as the *partial pole placement problem* in control theory. Let a control force of the form

$$f = Bh(t),$$

where B is the input (control) matrix, be applied to the vibrating structure and the control vector $h(t)$ be chosen as

$$h(t) = F^T \dot{x}(t) + G^T x(t).$$

Then the closed-loop system corresponding to (1) is

$$M\ddot{x} + (D + G - BF^T)\dot{x}(t) + (K - BG^T)x(t) = 0.$$

So, mathematically, the partial pole placement problem for a second-order system is the problem of finding the matrices F and G such that a few “bad” eigenvalues of the closed-loop quadratic pencil

$$P_c(\lambda) = \lambda^2 M + \lambda(D + G - BF^T) + (K - BG^T)$$

are replaced by “suitably” chosen ones leaving the remaining “good” ones unchanged.

In several recent papers ([3, 5, 7, 6, 14, 12]), the partial pole placement for the damped nongyroscopic systems ($G = 0$) has been considered and a *novel* approach, called the *partial-modal* approach has been developed.

This approach has several distinct features. First, the solution requires only those few eigenvalues that need to be reassigned and the corresponding eigenvectors.

Second, the problem is solved completely in the second-order setting; that is, no transformation to a first-order system is invoked; thus making it possible to preserve the exploitable structures such as the sparsity, definiteness, bandness, etc., very often offered by many practical problems.

Furthermore, mathematical results are proven that guarantee that there will be no *spill-over* during the process; that is, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors that are not to be changed will remain invariant.

Three new orthogonality relations between the eigenvectors of a symmetric definite matrix quadratic pencil form a basis of these results. These relations generalize those of a symmetric matrix and of a symmetric definite linear matrix pencil (see [4]).

In this paper, we extend our study to an undamped gyroscopic systems. Our study this time goes beyond the matrix second-order system and extends to the operator system of which the former is just a discretized approximation.

Specifically, we solve the multi-input partial pole placement problem for a gyroscopic operator system.

Such problem for a gyroscopic operator system arises, for example, in regulating the vibratory effects of small oscillations of a taut string, rotating about its x -axis with constant angular velocity; of small oscillations of a uniform string traveling with constant velocity γ over the fixed supports, etc.

In the special case, when M , G and K are matrices, we obtain a solution of the multi-input partial pole placement problem for an undamped gyroscopic matrix second-order system of the form (1).

Problem 1 (Multi-input Partial Pole Placement Problem for an Undamped Gyroscopic Operator System)

Given self-adjoint positive definite operators M and K , the skew-symmetric operator G , control functions $b_1(x), \dots, b_p(x)$, and a set of m self-conjugate numbers $\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^m$; find feedback functions $f_k(x)$ and $g_k(x)$ for $k = 1, \dots, p$ such that the spectrum of the closed-

loop operator system

$$M\nu_{tt} + G\nu_t + K\nu = \sum_{k=1}^p ((f_k, \nu_t) + (g_k, \nu)) b_k \quad (2)$$

is $\{\{\mu_i\}_{i=1}^m, \{\lambda_j\}_{j \geq m+1}\}$, where λ_j , $j = m+1, \dots$ are the eigenvalue of the associated open-loop operator system and (\cdot, \cdot) is an appropriate scalar product.

An explicit solution of the above problem is given in the following theorem (Theorem 1).

The proof of the Theorem will appear elsewhere.

An orthogonality relation between the eigenfunctions associated with two distinct eigenvalues of the open-loop gyroscopic operator pencil; analogous to the one proved in [5] for the eigenvectors of a symmetric definite quadratic matrix pencil, plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.

Besides the above orthogonality relation, several other useful properties of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a gyroscopic operator pencil needed in the proof of Theorem 1 will be established in the full version of the paper. The results of this paper generalize those for the single-input case obtained by the authors earlier ([8]).

Theorem 1 (An Explicit Solution of the Multi-input Partial Pole Placement Problem for an Undamped Gyroscopic Operator Pencil)

Suppose that the open-loop system is partially controllable with respect to its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ and $\mu_j \notin \{\lambda_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

- (i) Let v_j be the eigenfunctions of the open-loop system corresponding to the eigenvectors λ_j . Then, for any arbitrary matrix $\Phi = (\phi_{jk})_{j,k=1}^{m,p}$ the feedback functions

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_{jk} \lambda_j M v_j, \quad g_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_{jk} K v_j \quad (3)$$

are such that the infinite number of the closed-loop eigenvalues $\lambda_{m+1}, \lambda_{m+2}, \dots$ are the same as those of the open-loop eigenvalues.

- (ii) Let $\Gamma = (\gamma_{kj})_{k,j=1}^{p,m}$ be an arbitrary matrix chosen in such a way that $\mu_j = \overline{\mu_s}$ implies $\gamma_{sj} = \overline{\gamma_{ks}}$ for all $k = 1, \dots, p$ and $1 \leq j \neq s \leq m$ and that the matrix

$$Z_1 = ((\lambda_s M v_s, \mu_j w_j) + (K v_s, w_j))_{s,j=1}^m \quad (4)$$

is nonsingular, where $w_1(x), \dots, w_m(x)$ satisfy

$$(\mu_j^2 M + \mu_j G + K) w_j = \sum_{k=1}^p b_k \gamma_{kj}. \quad (5)$$

Then, the solution to the Problem 1 is given by (3), where

$$\Phi^H Z_1 = \Gamma. \quad (6)$$

Note: Part (i) of Theorem 1 says that an infinite number of the open-loop eigenvalues starting from λ_{m+1} remain invariant by the feedback defined by (2). In other words, *no spill-over occurs*.

Theorem 1 leads to a computational algorithm for Problem 1.

Algorithm 1 (An Algorithm for the Multi-input Partial Pole Placement Problem for an Undamped Gyroscopic Operator Pencil).

Inputs:

- (a) The self-adjoint positive definite operators M and K , and skew-symmetric operator G .
- (b) The control functions b_1, \dots, b_p .
- (c) The self-conjugate set of scalars $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m\}$.
- (d) The self-conjugate subset $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ of the open-loop spectrum $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ and the associated eigenfunction set $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$.

Outputs:

The feedback functions f_1, \dots, f_p and g_1, \dots, g_p such that the spectrum of the closed-loop operator system, given by (2) and (3), is exactly $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m; \lambda_{m+1}, \lambda_{m+2}, \dots\}$.

Assumptions:

- (a) The open-loop operator system is partially controllable with respect to its eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$.
- (b) $\mu_j \notin \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots\}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Step 1. Choose arbitrary scalars γ_{kj} , $k = 1, \dots, p$, $j = 1, \dots, m$ in such a way that $\mu_j = \overline{\mu_i}$ implies $\gamma_{kj} = \overline{\gamma_{ki}}$ and solve for w_j for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$:

$$(\mu_j^2 M + \mu_j G + K) w_j = \sum_{k=1}^p b_k \gamma_{kj}.$$

Step 2. Form the $m \times m$ matrix Z_1 :

$$Z_1 = ((\lambda_s M v_s, \mu_j w_j) + (K v_s, w_j))_{s,j=1}^m.$$

If Z_1 is ill-conditioned, then return to Step 1 and select different scalars γ_{kj} .

Step 3. Form $\Gamma = (\gamma_{kj})_{k,j=1}^{p,m}$ and solve for Φ :

$$\Phi^H Z_1 = \Gamma.$$

Step 4. Form the feedback functions f_1, \dots, f_p and g_1, \dots, g_p :

$$f_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_{jk} \lambda_j M v_j, \quad g_k(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m \phi_{jk} K v_j$$

Remark 1 The most distinctive feature of the algorithm is that it computes the solution of an infinite dimensional operator problem by solving a small finite dimensional linear algebraic system and by using only the few eigenvalues of the infinite dimensional operator that need to be reassigned and the associated eigenvectors. The algorithm is thus readily applicable to control dangerous vibration in a structure, where only a small part of the spectrum needs to be reassigned and the rest is to remain unchanged.

Numerical Example. Consider the small oscillations of a uniform string traveling with constant velocity γ over two fixed supports at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. The motion of the moving string is governed by the partial differential equation

$$u_{tt} + 2\gamma u_{xt} + (\gamma^2 - c^2) v_{xx} = 0, \quad (7)$$

where $0 < x < 1$, $t > 0$, $\gamma^2 < c^2$ and boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = u(1, t) = 0, \quad (8)$$

see e.g. [13].

It can be shown with respect to the scalar product (v, w)

$$(v, w) = \int_0^L \overline{v(x)} w(x) dx$$

that the system (7) and (8) is gyroscopic with operators M , G and K defined by

$$Mv = v, \quad Gv = 2\gamma \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \quad Kv = (\gamma^2 - c^2) \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2}. \quad (9)$$

Indeed,

$$(Mv, w) = \int_0^L \overline{w(x)} v(x) dx = \overline{(Mw, v)} = (v, Mw),$$

$$(Mv, v) = \int_0^L |v(x)|^2 dx \geq 0.$$

Integrating by parts yields

$$(Kv, w) = - \int_0^L \overline{(\gamma^2 - c^2) w''(x)} v(x) dx = (v, Kw),$$

and

$$(Kv, v) = \int_0^L (\gamma^2 - c^2) |v'(x)|^2 dx > 0,$$

in view of the boundary conditions (8). Since $v'(x)$ does not vanish identically, K is a self-adjoint positive definite operator. Another integration gives

$$(Gv, w) = - \int_0^L \overline{2\gamma w'(x)v(x)} dx = -(v, Gw),$$

and hence the traveling string (7) and (8) is a gyroscopic system.

For the purpose of making a simple illustrative numerical example we set $c = 1$, $\gamma = 1/2$ and take the control functions $b_1(x) = 1$ and $b_2(x) = x$. Then the spectrum $\{\lambda_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and eigenfunctions $\{v_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of traveling string system is given by

$$\lambda_k = \frac{3}{4}\pi i k, \quad v_k(x) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}\pi i k} - e^{\frac{3}{2}\pi i k} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (10)$$

We will solve Problem 1 reassigning only the eigenvalues $\pm 3\pi i/4$ to the locations $\mu_{1,2} = -1 \pm i$. That is we want the closed-loop system (2) to have the spectrum

$$\{-1 \pm i\} \cup \left\{ \frac{3}{4}\pi i k : k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \neq \pm 1 \right\}. \quad (11)$$

Arbitrary choice of Γ in the form $\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \overline{\gamma_{11}} \\ \gamma_{21} & \overline{\gamma_{21}} \end{pmatrix}$ with $(\gamma_{11}, \gamma_{21}) \neq (0, 0)$ results in real feedback. To simplify the symbolic expressions for $w_1(x)$ and $w_2(x)$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{11} &= (1 + 2i) \left(e^{2i/3} - i e^{2/3} \right), \\ \gamma_{21} &= (1 + i) \left(e^{2/3} - i e^{2i/3} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2} \right) \left(e^{\frac{2}{3}(i+x)} + (x-1)e^{\frac{2}{3}i(1+x)} - e^{\frac{2}{3}(1+ix)} \right), \\ w_2(x) &= \overline{w_1(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Such Γ yields the matrix

$$Z_1 = \begin{pmatrix} -0.509 + 0.892i & 0.614 + 0.034i \\ 0.614 - 0.034i & -0.509 - 0.892i \end{pmatrix}$$

with condition number $\text{Cond}_2(Z_1) = \|Z_1\|_2 \|Z_1^{-1}\|_2 = 3.978$ in Step 2. (All numerical results are rounded to 3 decimal digits.)

The matrix Φ in Step 3 is

$$\Phi = \begin{pmatrix} 1.078 - 0.608i & -2.207 + 2.171i \\ 1.078 + 0.608i & -2.207 - 2.171i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Step 4 yields the real feedback functions

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x) &= 2.867\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) - 2.867\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) + \\ & 5.079\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) + \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(x) &= -10.231\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) + 10.231\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) - \\ & 10.399\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) + \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= 3.989\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) - 35.903\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) - \\ & 2.251\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) - 20.262\sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(x) &= -8.167\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) + 73.506\cos\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) + \\ & 8.035\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right) + 72.316\sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{2}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

and the spectrum of the closed-loop system

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tt} + 2\gamma u_{xt} + (\gamma^2 - c^2)v_{xx} &= \\ 1 \int_0^1 f_1(x)u_t + g_1(x)u \, dx + \\ x \int_0^1 f_2(x)u_t + g_2(x)u \, dx \end{aligned}$$

with the boundary conditions (8) is precisely (11).

References

- [1] Balas, M. J. Trends in large space structure control theory: fondest hopes, wildest dreams. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **27** (1982), 522–535.
- [2] Bhaya, A. & Desoer, C. A. On the design of large flexible space structures. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, **30** (1985), 1118–1120.
- [3] Chu, E. K-W. & Datta, B. N. Numerical robust pole assignment for second-order systems. *International Journal of Control*, **64** (1996), 1113–1127.
- [4] Datta, B. N. *Numerical Linear Algebra and Applications*. Brooks/Cole publishing Co. (1995).
- [5] Datta, B. N., Elhay, S. & Ram, Y. M. Orthogonality and Partial Pole Assignment for the Symmetric Definite Quadratic Pencil. *Linear Algebra and its Applications* **257** (1997), 29–48.
- [6] Datta, B. N. & Sarkissian D. R. Multi-Input Partial Eigenvalue Assignment for the Symmetric Quadratic Pencil. *Proceedings of the American Control Conference (Refereed)* (1999), 2244–2247.
- [7] Datta, B. N., Elhay, S., Ram, Y. M. & Sarkissian D. R. Partial Eigenstructure Assignment for the Quadratic Pencil. *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, **230** (2000), 101–110.
- [8] Datta, B. N., Ram, Y. M. & Sarkissian D. R. Spectrum Modification for Gyroscopic Systems, Submitted for publication (2000).

- [9] Inman, D. J. *Vibration: with control, measurement, and stability*. Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, N. J. (1989).
- [10] Joshi, S. M. *Control of Large Flexible Space Structures*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Science, Vol. 131, Springer Verlag, Berlin (1989).
- [11] Lancaster, P. *Lambda-Matrices and Vibrating Systems*. Pergamon Press: Oxford, U.K. (1966).
- [12] Ram, Y. M. Pole assignment for the vibrating rod. *Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics*, (1999)
- [13] Ram, Y. M. & Caldwell, J. The free vibrations of an axially moving string in a bounded region. *Canadian Applied Mathematics Quarterly*, **3** (1995), no. 4, 445–471.
- [14] Ram, Y. M. & Elhay S. An inverse eigenvalue problem for the symmetric tridiagonal quadratic pencil with applications to damped oscillatory systems. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **56** (1996), 232–244.